Confidential

To Jim Garrison From Harold Weisberg 4/18/68 Kerry Thornley

Because your Thornley file seemed to be incomplete, after my return last month I began an independent search of the federal information. Together with what I recall, the accuracy of which I cann guarantee, it is interesting.

Also interesting is what is not in the files.

Thornley seems to prefer to claim that someone "fingered" him-now Barbara Reid, then Tony Shembroske (sic). Neither is true.

He seems to prefer a later date than the first federal interview with him. The private information I got in New Orleans is correct. He was interviewed by the Secret Service, two days before the FEI sought him out. Shembroski did not contact the FBI until 11 days after the assassination, 10 after the first federal interview.

If one is to take the Commission's letters at face value, it did not know where Thornley was on April 28, 1964 but did know that he was no longer at 1824 Dauphine. Under date of May 8, Hoover told the Commission his address.

I have copies of the reports and memoranda and will give them to you when I see you.

The manuscript of "The Idle Warriors" should be evailable. It is 250 pp. long and is allegedly complete. There is also a short novella, 37 pp. Xeroxing the first will cost \$50.00.

* * * *

Someone in Dallas knew where Thornley Lived, for that Secret Service offic & phoned the one in New Orleans 7:30 p.m. 11/23/63, asking that he be interviewed because "Thornley had served in the Marine Corps with Oswald at El Torro (sic) California, and the had been in Mexico recently." I recall no reference to this Secret Service report in the deposition. Inquiry that night disclosed Thornley had been at that address about 2 weeks. "During thelate evening" he was interviewed at Arnaudes by SAs Gerrets

and Rice. I understand this was about 11 p.m.

Thornley "understood"that Oswald had Learned Russian "while stationed in Japan; ...felt at that time that Oswald was not a Communist"; that he liked Orwell.

He had written the novel and Had considered looking up Oswald in order to obtain information concerning his experience is Russia for the final chapter, but that he had not done so". Thus we have indication of the content of that final chapter.

Of his trip to Mexico, Thornley said he left New Orleans in May of 1963, going to Whittier, where he worked for a while "and returned to New Orleans by bus, via Mexico; that he was in Mexico for approximately six days-three days in Mexico City-... here nothing mf about Oswald having been in Mexico."

This language is as indirect as the "return", unnecessarily so, as the FBI reports show. Whether the evasion has significance I do not know. In their second report, the one written after Thornley went to them, Regis Kennedy and Claude Schlager (11/27/63) quote him as arying that "on May 1, 1963, he took a six day twip into California and approximately July 25, 1963, he took a six day trip into Mexico...returned to New Orleans about August 1, 1963."

According to their report typed 11/25/63, FBI Agents Alderson and Farrell interviewed Thornley that date, also at Arnaud's. They do not give the time. Because the report was typed the same date as the interview, and because I understand Thornley worked nights, I suggest that this late-night typing might be indicative $\frac{1475}{167}$ Thornley.

This report quotes him thus: "He was of the opinion that Oswald took Russian lessions in Japan during the time he was stationed there with the Marine Corps."

There is an ambiguity in this paragraph. One possible interpretation is that Thornley was saying he was the Communist:

"Thornley stated that his association with OSWALR was suddenly terminated at the Marina base when one day he told Oswald that he was 'a cCommunist end that things would be different when the revolution came'. He stated that OSWALD made the statement, 'not you, too', and turned around and left. OSWALD never associated with him or talked to him after this incident." This leaves never assoctiated with him or talked to him after this incident. "This is a somewhat different version of the "et tu" incident than in the

This is a somewhat different version of the "et tu" incident than in the deposition, on several counts. I do not believe that anywhere else Thomnley said this was the end of their relations.

In this report, Thornley is quoted as giving the samdbeginning and end-

2

ing dates for his trip but as not giving any for the Mexican part. It says he entered Mexico via TiJuana, and left through Matamoros.

The next afternoon, 11/26/63, at 12:30 p.m., Thornley appeared at the FEI office "to offer his services in any way to determine the guilt or innocence of LES HARVEY OSWALD". But here there is a different "finis":

"He advised that his association with OSWALD was terminated when he, THORNLEY, was transferred overseas...."

Here also "hornley said that Oswald studied Russian in Japan and "At was his opinionthat OSWALD was not a Communist while he was assigned to the El Toro Merine Base".

He gave "the news media" as his source of the information that Oswald "had returned to the United States disillusioned about Communism."

Thornley read papers I have never seen or heard quoted!

Although he says he was in New Orleans beginning August 1, 1963 he is quoted as saying that he "had no knowledge that OSWALN was living in New Orleans until he read the news reports after OSWALD was implicated in the murder of Frecident KENNEDY".

He thus claims to have known nothing about Oswald's 8/9/63 arrest. Quite naturally, the agents reflect no questioning along this line. After all, aside from having known him, Thornley had only written a novel about him.

Strangely, this sentence: "He stated that he had made no secret that he was not particularly disturbed over the death of President KENNEDY, although he does not approve of assassination nor does he approve of the subsequent nurder of OSWALD". Rather en understatement, designed to raise the question before it Sounds like the lenguage used to "cover" for Herrie who might be raised for him. whose murder the PSI was investigating, allegebly.

In this spirit, Thernley volunteered that while in California he "adopted an extremely liberal point of view", went with a girl named Sylvia Barten, now married, name Uname (Phon), "who is now resident and studying in Havana, Cuba". Then Thommley volunteered that she had "adopted the Communist philosophy".

Thornley then place his present political position: "somewhere between the Communist Left and the John Birch right"!

In a sense Thornley didn't intend, Anthony Shembroski did "finger" him in reporting his December 1, 1963 conversation with Jean Hack, "wife" of Kerry Thornley. She "told him that OSWALD and THORNLEY were very good friends, and that THORNLEY had stated to her, "If OSWALD hadn't killed President KENNEDY he would have done it himself." She said that Thornley had a shotgun at home and that she did not think he would hesitate to use it".

What better reason can there be for the absence of my FBI interview

3

with Jean Hack?

Or of themany others then possible from this concluding sentence alone: "SHEMBROSKI stated that "NORNLEY sometimes caused trouble at the Bourbon House, a place Thornlay frequented quite often".

As Wesley Liebeler put it, Albert Jenner was too busy running for the Presidency of the American Bar Association to do much work. It is he who deposed Thornley, a very polito affair in which none of this came up, except Oswald's politics, which suddenly became Communist.

The testimony is well known to you. Perhaps the exhibits are not. There are three, two of which are not printed. The first is a States-Item story of $11/27/_{6}$ (21H669), to which I shall return. The second is "The Idle Warriors", all 250 pages of it (and there has been no response to my **request** inquiry about its availability) and the third is the 37 pages of the novella.

Although staff memorando are scoree and Jenner was so busy campaigning, he nonctheless though it necessary to write two different memos to Melvin in Malana days Hisenberg ten days later, differently captioned but on these exhibits. In one Oswald was "inspiration" for the central character, Johnny Shellburn, in the other it "depicted" Oswald.

The news story is interesting, probably important, and I believe the picture with it is significant. That picture shows Thornley with his hair parted on the LEFT, as I show it in the pictures I had Clancy print backward. I would hope this picture is still available at the States-Iten. For what it proves is that at the timeof the assassination, Thornley's hairline was like Ogwald's. Wigh the other evidences he could have played the role of Oswald, I draw particular attention to it and to Phil Boatright's statement to me that if everything else about Thornley was untidy, his hair was something to which he devoted special attention.

This story is also the beginning of the falsehood about Oswald having lost his security clearance and the garbage about him being "the outfit janitor", h hardly a description of oncof the few members with the unusually high security clearance, as "hornley's testimony reveals he knew.

Here, too, is the beginning of the characterization of Oswald as a "loser", again something not supported by his Marine record.

Perhaps also we can lay to rest the story about the fantastic sum Thornley is said to have refused for the last chapter of his book:

".... 'in the light of recent events'an.agent is now seeking to sell the last few chapters as the basis for a television script".

clint Bolton told me he could do nothing with the book, and that he tried.

Nothing is less likely than that either Thornley or Bolton would have rejected any offer, leave alone a very generous one. (According to Jenner's two-page memo, the manuscript was then almost two years old, sure sign its prospects were not bright.)

The shorter memo contains this assurance by Jenner:

1. 1

"In his deposition, Thornley certified to the accuracy of the article and particularly the quotations".

Returning to the story, the very next quotation reads, """I think Oswald b@came & Communist before he became & Marine, but I believe the Marines only made things worse for him.2

Soon this:""He said he though communism was the best religion"." This coming from the Thornley who just a few days carlier had been telling federal investigators exactly the opposite.

I can only wonder why Jenner wrote two memos when usually there were none. Therefore, I quote language about "The Idle Warriers" from the longer one not in the aborter one:

"Thornley testified that the cyldence given by him on his deposition""" covered fully adequately and factually "hornley's contacts with and views concerning "swald, and that, therefore, it appeared to him that there was """ nothing in the book that had not already been placed in the record in the course of his deposition incofar as concerned any issue involving Oswald being investigated by the Commission."(sic)

If nothing else flagged interest in this garbling, which is more then even Jenner usually came up with, the cualification "being investigated by the Commission" should, for we know that what it should have investigated it didn't.

If I may conjecture: is it possible that your spurce on the unlikely story that he turned down a very good NBC offer made up this story to direct ju to the manuscript without being in the position of having deliberately told you what it might contain?

Strangely, for any body but this one, the manuscripts "did not come into ourpossession until the day of Mr. Thornley's **testimony** deposition was taken". Only because they didn't want it. The federal investigators knew about it. Therefore the Commission did. As late as May 15, when Rankin wrote Thornley, it could still have been requested/ in advance of the deposition. Thornley was in the same town, virtually, and the FBI and the Commission had messengers. Instead of asking for the manuscript in advance, so the lawyer could read it prior to taking the deposition, Rankin asked him to bring it with him! 5

I believe it is in one of (the transcripts of) his radio-TV appearances in the Tampa area that Thornley, knowing it to be entirely false, said federal attention was directed to him by Shembroski (FBI spelling). With the Secret Service lead coming from Dallac, do you suppose it is possible the source was Oswald, during his interrogation?

Note that althoughorhornley had been at 1824 Dauphine, the Dallas Secret Service had his correct address. Whatever the source, it wald seem to have been one keeping tabs on "hornley.

We know that the federal reporting is notoriously inaccurate. Yet these would have to be errors against interest for the reports quoting Thernley as saying Oswald was not a Communist to be errors. What the federal investigators very much wanted is just this statement. Odd that as soon as he was away from them or their report, Thornley said exactly what they wanted.

Possibly Shembroski has been interviewed to learn whether the FEI quoted him accurately. After first seeing this attribution by Thornley I planned to look him up. I've not had time on my two most recent trips to New Orleans. If one of your people cannot do it, if his address can be wanted for mo, when next l'm there I can do it when I have after free moments. If he has left the New Orleans area, he might be collewhere I may someday go.

If Jeanne Hack is still in San Francisco, 1'd like th last address you have. If I do not get out there in the near future, I have friends who can interview her for me. If she is elsewhere, I'd like to know in case I go near. It is always possible the FBI or Shembroski misropresented what she said. Yet it also is consistent with what we know.

Of all the people I have spoken to about Thornley, only one over said he did not have Kennedy. That is Envbara Reid. And even when she heard Clint Boltan naswer my question, did Thornley have Kennedy, in the affirmative, she persisted, in signs behind Bolton's back, that it wasn't so.

She could have faulty recollection, or she calf have been protecting a friend. But is it also not possible that "hormley believed any search would reach her, and he deliberately told her the apposite of what he felt, so that she would repeat it?

Aside from friendship, he knew that any investigation would reach her, because it is with her that he lefth his files when he want to Washington. Your files have a letter from him on this that I got from her long ago.

Confidential

To Jim Garrison From Marold Weisberg 4/18/68 Kerny Thornley

- marine

Because your Thornley file seemed to be incomplete, after my return last month I began an independent search of the federal information. Together with what I recall, the accuracy of which I cann guarantee, it is interesting.

Also interesting is what is not in the files.

Thornley seems to prefer to claim that someone "fingered" him-now Earbers Reid, then Tony Shembroske (sic). Neither is true.

He seems to prefer a later date than the first federal interview with him. The private information I got in New Orleans is correct. He was interviewed by the Secret Service, two days before the FBI nought him out. Shembroaki did not contact the FBI until 11 days after the assassination, 10 after the first federal interview.

If one is to take the Commission's latters at face value, it did not know where Thornley was on April 28, 1964 but did know that he was no longer at 1824 Dauphine. Under date of May 8, Hoover told the Commission his address.

I have copies of the reports and memoranda and will give them to you when I see you.

The manuscript of "The Idle Warriors" should be available. It is 250 pp. long and is allegedly complete. There is also a short nevella, 37 pp. Xeroxing the first will cost \$50.00.

* * * *

Someone in Dallas knew where Thornley lived, forthat Secret Service office phoned the one in New Orleans 7:30 p.m. 11/23/63 asking that he be interviewed because "Thornley had served in the Marine Corps with Oswald at El Torro (sic) California, and the had been in Mexico recently." I recall no reference to this Secret Service report in the deposition. Inquiry that night disclosed Thermley had been at that address about 2 weeks. "During the late evening" he was interviewed at Arnaudés by Sés Gerrets and Rice. I understand this was about 11 p.m.

Thermiey "understood"that Convold had learned Russian "while stationed in Japan; do.folt at that time that Oswald was not a Communist"; that he liked Orwell.

He had written the novel and had considered looking up Oswald in order to obtain information concerning his experience is Russia for the final chapter, but that he had not done so". Thus we have indication of the content of that final chapter.

This language is as indirect as the "return", unnecessarily so, as the FAI reports show. Whether the evasion has significance I do not know. In their second report, the one written after Thornley went to them, Regis Kennedy and Claude Schlager (11/27/63) quote him as asying that won May 1, 1963, he took a six day trip into California and approximately July 25, 1963, he took a six day trip into Mexico...returned to New Orleans about August 1, 1963."

According to their report typed 11/25/65, WEI Agents Alderson and Farrell interviewed Thornley that date, also at Arnaud's. They do not give the time. Because the report was typed the same date as the interview, and because I understand Thornley worked nights, I suggest that this late-night typing might be indicative of greater than average in Thornley.

This report quotes him thus:"He was of the opinion that Oswald took Russian lessions in Japan during the time he was stationed there with the Marine Corps."

There is an ambiguity in this paragraph. One possible interpretation is " that Therehey was saying he was the Communist:

"Thornley stated that his association with OSWALD was suddenly terminated at the Maring base when one day he told Oswald that he was 'a cCommunist and that things would be different when the revolution came'. He stated that OSWALD made the statement, 'not you, too', and turned around and left. OSWALD never associated with him or talked to him after this incident, "This leaves no succident to being calle

This is a somewhat different version of the "et tu" incident than in the deposition, on several counts. I do not believe that anywhere else Thomnley sold this was the end of their relations.

14

In this report, Thornley is quoted as giving the sandbeginning and end-

ing dates for his trip but as not giving any for the Barlies part. It says he entered Nerice via TiJuena, and left through Metametres.

The next afternoones 11/26/63; at 12:30 panes Thermiey appeared at the FBI office "to offer his service in any way to determine the guilt or innecence of LEE HARVEY OSWALD". But here there is a different "finis":

"He advised that his association with QSVALD was terminated when hes THORNLEY, was transferred everyesses."

Here also Thornley said that Ogwald studied Russian in Japan and "At was his opinionthat OSVALD was not a Communist while he was assigned to the El Toro Marine Made".

He gave "the news media" as his seurce of the information that Gausaid "had returned to the United States distliusioned about Communism."

Thornley read papers I have never seen or heard gested?

Although he mays he was in New Orleans beginning August 1, 1963 he is quoted as saying that he "had no knowledge that OSWALD was living in New Orleans until he read the news reports after OSWALD was implicated in the marder of President KENNEDY".

He thus claims to have known nothing about Oswald's 8/9/63 morest. Quite naturally, the agents reflect no questioning along this line. After all, aside from having known him, Thornley had only written a movel about him.

Strangely, this centence: "No stated that he had made no secret that he was not particularly disturbed over the death of President EXEMPLOY, although he does not approve of assassination nor does he approve of the subsequent marder of OSWALD", Rather an understatement, designed to raise the question before it Sounds like the abstract and to raise the question before it might be raised for him, whose murder the raise investigating, for the subsequent is this spirit, Thornley volunteered that while in Galifernia he "adopted

an extremely liberal point of view", went with a girl named Sylvia Amrtenia new married, name Uname (Thom), "she is new resident and studying in Enverse, suber". Then Thempley volunteered that she had "adopted the Communist philosophy";

Thereley then place his present political positions assumings between the Computer Loft and the "ohn March right"

In a sense thereley didn't intend, inthese thesheved if it winger" him in reporting his pecember 1, 1967 conversation with Juss Harly "wife" of Energy Thereley, she would him that sawild and THORNEST were very good friends, and that THOREET had stated to have "If OSVALD hads"s willed Provident Dimensy he would have done it himself," the wold that "Moreeley has a decign of None a that she did not think he would besidente to use it's that better reason can there be for the abasence of any THE Moreeley with Jean Hack?

Or of themany others then possible from this concluding sontenes alone: "SHEMBROSKI stated that "ROMMLEY sometimes caused trouble at the Bourbon House, a place Thornley frequented quite often".

As Woaley Liebeler put it, Albert Jenner was too busy running for the Presidency of the American Bar Association to do much work. It is he who deposed Thornley, a very polite affair in which none of this same up, except Oswald's politics, which suddenly became Communist.

The testimony is well known to you. Perhaps the exhibits are not. There a/e three, two of which are not printed. The first is a States-Item story of $11/27/_{2}$ (21H669), to which I shall return. The second is "The Idle Warriors", all 250 pages of it (and there has been no response to my magnank inquiry about its availability) and the third is the 37 pages of the novella.

Although staff memorando are scarce and Jenner was so basy campaigning, he nonetholess though it necessary to write two different memos to Melvin in fullant days Eisenberg ten days later, differently captioned but on these calibits. In one Oswald was "inspiration" for the central character, Johnny Shellburn, in the other it "depicted" Osweld.

The news story is intoresting, probably important, and I believe the picture with it is significant. That picture shows Thernley with his hair parted on the LEFT, as I show it in the pictures I had Clancy point backward. I would hope this picture is still available at the States-Item. For what it proves is that at the timeof the assassination, Thernley's pairline was like Ogwald's. Wigh the other evidences he could have played the role of Oswald, I draw particular a stantion to it and to Phil Bestright's statesent to me that if everything else about Thernley was untidy, his hair was something to which he devoted special attention.

This story is also the beginning of the falsehood about Oswald having lost his security clearance and the garbage about him being "the outfit janitor", k hardly a description of one of the few members with the unusually high security clearance, as "hornley's testimeny reveals he knew.

Here, too, is the beginning of the characterisation of Oswald as a "loser", again something not supported by his Marine record.

Perhaps also we can lay to rest the story about the funtactic sum Theraley is said to have refused for the last chapter of his book;

".... "in the light of recent events" an agent is now seeking to sell the last few chapters as the basis for a television script".

clint Bolton told me he could do nothing with the book, and that he tried,

Nothing is less likely than that either Thermley or Belton would have rejected <u>any</u> offer, leave alone a very generous one. (According to Jenner's two-page memo, the manuscript was then almost two years old, sure sign its prospects were not bright.)

The shorter mono contains this assurance by Jenners

"In his deposition, Thornley certified to the accuracy of the article and particularly the quotations".

Returning to the story, the very next quotation reads, """I think Oswald became a Communist before he became a Marine, but I believe the Marines only made things worse for him. 2

Soon this:""He said he though communism was the best religion"." This coming from the Thornley who just a few days sarlier had been telling federal investigators exactly the opposite.

I can only wonder why Jonner wrote two memos when usually there were none. Therefore, I quote language about "The Idle Warriers" from the longer one not in the shorter one:

"Thornley testified that the ovidence given by him on his deposition covered fully adequately and factually Thornley's contacts with and views concerning Oswald, and that, therefore, it appeared to him that there was an nothing in the book that had not already been placed in the record in the course of his deposition insofar as concerned any issue involving Oswald being investigated by the Commission."(sic)

If nothing else flagged interest in this garbling, which is more then even Jeaner usually came up with, the qualification "being investigated by the Commission" should, for we know that what it should have investigated it didn't.

If I may conjectures is it possible that your source on the unlikely story that he turned down a very good NBC offer made up this story to direct yo to the manuscript without being in the position of having deliberately told you what it might contain?

Strangely, for any body but this one, the manuscripts "did not come into ourpossession until the day of Hr. Thornley's instinant deposition was taken". Only because they didn't want it. The federal investigators knew about it. Therefore the Commission did. As late as May 15, when Rankin wrote Thornley, it could still have been requested, in advance of the deposition. Thornley was in the same town, virtually, and the FBI and the Commission had measurgers. Instead of asking for the manuscript in advance, so the lawyer could read it prior to taking the deposition, Rankin asked him to bring it with him! I believe it is in one of (the transcripts of) his radie-TV appearances in the Tampa area that Thornley, knowing it to be entirely false, said federal attention was directed to him by Shembreski (FBT spelling). With the Secret Service lead coming from Dallas, do you suppose it is possible the source was Oswald, during his interrogation?

Note that althoughorhornley had been at 1831 Dauphine, the Dallas Secret Service had his correct address. Whatever the source, it would seem to have been one keeping tabs on "hornley.

We know that the federal reporting is notoriously inacourate. Yet these would have to be errors against interest for the reports quating Thornley as saying Oswald was not a Communist to be errors. What the federal investigators very much wanted is just this statement. Odd that as soon as he was away from them or their report. Thornley said exactly what they wanted.

Possibly Shembroakk has been interviewed to learn whether the FBI quoted him accurately. After first seeing this attribution by Theraley I planned to look him up. I've not had time on my two most recent trips to New Orleans. If one of your people cannot do it, if his address can be attributed for me, when next I'm there I can do it when I have after free moments. If he has left the New Orleans area, he might be somewhere I may someday go.

If Jeanne Hack is still in San Francisco, I'd like th last address you have. If I do not get out there in the near future, I have friends who can interview her for me. If she is elsewhere, I'd like to know in case I go near. It is always possible the FBI or Shembroak: misrepresented what she suid. Yet it also is consistent with what we know.

Of all the people I have spoken to about Thornley, only one ever said he did not have Kennedy, That is Barbara Reid. And even when she heard Clint Boltan maswer my question, did Thornley have Kennedy, in the affirmative, she persisted, in signs behind Bolton's back, that it wasn't so.

She could have faulty recollection, or ale could have been protecting a friend. But is it also not possible that "hornley believed any search would reach her, and he deliberately told her the opposite of what he felt, so that she would repeat it?

Aside from friendship, he know that any investigation would reach here because it is with her that he lefth his files when he wont to Washington. Your files have a letter from him on this that I' got from her long age.